(*a*) whether any sample forms have been issued to the several Taluk Cutcherries in the State for adaptation in publishing notification in the *Gazette* regarding intended sales under the Revenue Recovery Regulation ;

(*b*) whether there is any uniformity in the notifications of the various taluks now appearing in the *Gazette*, and, if there is not, why Government have not till now (18-10-1107) attempted to bring about any such uniformity ; and

(*c*) whether these notifications are not published under Section 32 of the Revenue Recovery Regulation, and, if so, whether any one of the notifications published in the *Gazette* in 1107 contains all the particulars enjoined by that Section ?

MR. P. VISVANATHA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*) : (*a*) No sample forms have been issued.

(*b*) The notifications published in the *Gazette* are not uniform, but they contain most of the relevant particulars specified in Section 32 of the Revenue Recovery Regulation.

(*c*) There is no provision in the Revenue Recovery Regulation requiring the publication of notifications of sales in the *Gazette*, but it is being done, as per G.O. Dis. No. 700/25/Rev., dated 10-6-1925, as an executive measure to give wide publicity.

Licenses for vending foreign liquor.

168. **MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI** (*Karunagapalli*) : Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether the right to sell foreign liquor is sold in public auction, just as the right to sell country liquor is auctioned ; and

(*b*) if not, why they have adopted a different policy in regard to foreign liquor ?

MR. A. KUMARA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government*): (*a*) No. The fixed fee license system is adopted.

(*b*) The auctioning system is not suited to the case of foreign liquor. Unlike a dealer in country liquor, a foreign liquor licensee, if he is to run the business properly, has to stock a large quantity of liquor at great cost and, as such, continuity of license is a desirable element in his case.

Appointment of an acting clerk as Inspector of Police.

169. **MR. K. KRISHNAN NAYAR** (*Pattanamtitta*): Will the Government be pleased to state :

(*a*) whether a clerk of the Police Department, with less acting service than that of several others, has been appointed by the Commissioner to act as Inspector ;

(*b*) if the answer to part (*a*) is in the affirmative, his name and the total period of his acting service ;

(*c*) whether they have not replied to interpellations that the appointment of clerks as Inspectors is not advisable and that they cannot be sent out as such ; and

(*d*) if so, the special circumstances that weighed with the Commissioner to appoint a clerk with less acting service as Inspector in

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. K. Krishnan Nayar.]

preference to the claims of clerks who have acted as Inspectors for longer periods?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Mr. W. V. Thomas, permanent clerk on Rs. 40, with a total service of 6 months and 16 days, as Ag. Inspector, on the date of his last acting appointment, *viz.*, 23-5-1107.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) The clerk was appointed by the Commissioner of Police in reconsideration of previous orders and based on confidential reports received from District Superintendents concerning all who had previously acted.

Local option in Changanachery.

170. **MR. K. KRISHNAN NAYAR** (*Pattanamtitta*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) how long it is since local option was introduced at Changanachery;

(*b*) whether it has been a success; and

(*c*) if so, whether they think of introducing it in other places as well?

MR. A. KUMARA PILLAI (*Secretary to Government*): (*a*) Three years. It was introduced at Changanachery in April 1929.

(*b*) The result is being watched and it is not yet time to say definitely that the experiment is a success.

(*c*) No; Government propose to watch the working of the experiment at Changanachery for some time more.

Revenue, expenditure and population of the Devicolam Division.

171. **MR. K. P. ABRAHAM** (*Muvattupuzha* cum *Todupuzha*): Will the Government be pleased to state;

(*a*) the revenue collected in 1106 M. E. from the Devicolam Division;

(*b*) the expenditure incurred on account of the Devicolam Division in 1106; and

(*c*) the population of the Devicolam Division as per the Census of 1931?

MR. P. VISVANATHA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*). (*a*) The revenue collected in 1106 from the Devicolam Division is as under—

(1) Land Revenue	—	Rs. 1,79,435
(2) Capital Revenue	—	Rs. 1,15,377
(3) Income Tax	—	Rs. 1,58,045
	Total	Rs. 4,52,857

(*b*) The expenditure incurred on account of Land Revenue and Income Tax Departments in the Devicolam Division in 1106 amounted to Rs. 82,340.

(*c*) 105,224.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly